

Policy on Academic Freedom and Freedom of Speech

Version:	1.0
Bodies consulted:	Office for Students, University of Essex, Independent Higher Education
Approved by:	Education and Training Committee
Date approved:	4 February 2021
Lead manager:	Head of Academic Governance, Quality Assurance and Registry
Responsible director:	Director of Education and Training
Date issued:	30 March 2021
Review date:	30 March 2022

Contents

Introduction	3
Purpose	3
·	
·	
	6
	Introduction Purpose Scope Definitions Policy Statements Duties and responsibilities Procedures Training Requirements Process for monitoring compliance with this policy References Associated documents Equality Analysis

Policy on Academic Freedom and Freedom of Speech

1 Introduction

This Policy has been instituted in recognition of the Trust's role as a higher education institution, however it covers all educational, research, and clinical activities and therefore has implications for all staff.

The Trust's associated education, debate and research activities are informed by the principles outlined in this policy.

The Trust adheres to the principles of academic freedom and recognises the right of its staff and students to test received wisdom and to put forward controversial or unpopular opinions.

without jeopardising their privileges or position.

The Trust expects its staff to exercise their freedom of speech in ways which are mindful of the Trust's core values and its wider policies and procedures.

2 Purpose

This Policy has been established to ensure that students and staff involved in educational, research, events, and other activities at the Trust, are aware of their freedoms and responsibilities around their speech and expression.

3 Scope

This policy applies to all Trust staff, students and invited guests involved in the participation and delivery of Trust activities. These activities include meetings, extra-curricular events or related activities delivered away from the Trust's buildings but linked to the organisation by use of its name or funding.

4 Definitions

Academic Freedom

The Trust supports its staff and students to work in ways which are consistent with its published strategies, policies, procedures and terms of employment or study. Scholarly activity which ensures the maintenance of academic standards is supported. Within these contexts, the Trust supports freedom of teaching, discussion, research and expression.

Freedom of Speech

As a national and international thought leader around mental health, the Trust is an institution where, within the law, debate and discussion may occur; where ideas may be tested and where its staff and students may challenge and think for themselves. The Trust is committed to promoting an environment in which intense inquiry and informed argument generates

lasting ideas, and where members of its community have a responsibility both to constructively challenge and to listen fully.

5 Policy Statements

Responsibilities

The freedoms recognised by the Trust must co-exist with the organisation's primary purposes: to understand and think about mental distress, mental health and emotional wellbeing. Staff and students must be mindful of the Trust's core values and abide by its policies and procedures. As such they have responsibilities to:

Refrain from all forms of discrimination whether on the grounds of protected characteristics or any other personal attributes. This includes the promotion or groups or individuals who incite hate or discrimination;

To ensure that positions in debate have some grounding in scholarship, demonstrable evidence or a wider context;

To recognise and express where personal belief impinges on debate and judgement;

To debate in a manner which is not injurious to others or the reputation of the Trust or which is not disruptive to teaching or the delivery of clinical services;

To be mindful of the personal beliefs, backgrounds and opinions of others and to avoid unnecessary distress in the expressing contrary ideas or opinions;

To not impose beliefs on others;

To be clear that any opinions are not those of the Trust unless communication has been officially sanctioned, by the Trust's Communications team in liaison with other senior Trust staff as appropriate

To ensure that all research has appropriate ethical approval and is conducted in line with this;

To keep an open mind and to listen to views which may be contrary to one's own.

6 Duties and responsibilities

The ultimate responsibility for oversight of this policy sits with the Chief Executive of the Trust, but in practice the operation and oversight of this policy is delegated to the Director of Education and Training / Dean of Postgraduate Studies.

7 Procedures

Where concerns are raised by Trust staff, students, or other members of the Trust community, an investigation will be initiated using the appropriate procedures, such as the Trust's Disciplinary Policy or the Student Conduct Concerns Procedure.

Concerns may be also raised by students directly with the Director of Training and Education, via the Student Complaints Procedure, through HR

using the Trust's Complaints Procedure or using the Trust Freedom to Speak Up Guardian.

8 Training Requirements

This policy is publicly available on the Trust's website. It will be communicated to staff and students registered with the Trust.

9 Process for monitoring compliance with this policy

The Director of Education and Training is responsible for ensuring that the policy is implemented and monitored through the operational structure of DET. Concerns around Academic Freedom will be addressed under their remit and they will report to the Education and Training Committee, which is a specialist interest committee of the board of directors, on this area

10 References

This policy has been created with reference to the Trust's main collaborative partner the University of Essex, and its policy on Academic Freedom and Freedom of Speech, and with reference to the Trust's internal guidelines on Freedom to Speak Up. It also acknowledges the Trust's statutory obligations as set out in the:

Education Reform Act 1998:

Higher Education and Research Act 2017;

Equality Act 2010,

Counter Terrorism and Security Act 2015 and the Prevent Duty Guidance 2015

Public Sector Equality Duty from the Equality Act 2000

11 Associated documents¹

-

¹ For the current version of Trust procedures, please refer to the intranet.

*Policy on Academic Freedom and Freedom of Speech, office use only, 2021

12 **Equality Analysis**

Completed by	S Carrington
Position	Head of Academic Governance and Quality
	Assurance
Date	

The following questions determine whether analysis is needed	Yes	No
Does the policy affect service users, employees or the wider	Χ	
community? The relevance of a policy to equality depends not just		
on the number of those affected but on the significance of the		
effect on them.		
Is it likely to affect people with particular protected characteristics	Х	
differently?		
Is it a major policy, significantly affecting how Trust services are		Х
delivered?		
Will the policy have a significant effect on how partner		Χ
organisations operate in terms of equality?		
Does the policy relate to functions that have been identified	Χ	
through engagement as being important to people with particular protected characteristics?		
Does the policy relate to an area with known inequalities?	Х	
Does the policy relate to any equality objectives that have been	Х	
set by the Trust?		
Other?		X

If the answer to all of these questions was no, then the assessment is complete.

If the answer to *any* of the questions was yes, then undertake the following analysis:

	Ye s	No	Comment
Do policy outcomes and	Χ		There is the potential for continued
service take-up differ			debate on Trust activities which are
between people with			controversial, such as our work on
			gender identity. There is the

What are the key findings of any engagement you have undertaken? If there is a greater effect on one group, is that consistent with the policy aims? If the policy has negative effects on people sharing particular characteristics, what steps can be taken to mitigate these effects? If not handled appropriately. The policy states that where such discussion is undertaken, it is necessary to do so in a manner which is "not injurious to others or the reputation of the Trust". Appropriate promotion of the policy and in particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs — including those relating to particular characteristics.	the key findings gagement you ertaken? a greater effect oup, is that	e the key findings ngagement you	the Trust community and the public, if not handled appropriately. The policy states that where such discussion is undertaken, it is necessary to do so in a manner
What are the key findings of any engagement you have undertaken? If there is a greater effect on one group, is that consistent with the policy aims? If the policy has negative effects on people sharing particular characteristics, what steps can be taken to mitigate these effects? If not handled appropriately. The policy states that where such discussion is undertaken, it is necessary to do so in a manner which is "not injurious to others or the reputation of the Trust". Appropriate promotion of the policy and in particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs — including those relating to particular characteristics.	gagement you ertaken? a greater effect oup, is that	ngagement you	if not handled appropriately. The policy states that where such discussion is undertaken, it is necessary to do so in a manner
What are the key findings of any engagement you have undertaken? If there is a greater effect on one group, is that consistent with the policy aims? If the policy has negative effects on people sharing particular characteristics, what steps can be taken to mitigate these effects? If not handled appropriately. The policy states that where such discussion is undertaken, it is necessary to do so in a manner which is "not injurious to others or the reputation of the Trust". Appropriate promotion of the policy and in particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs — including those relating to particular characteristics.	gagement you ertaken? a greater effect oup, is that	ngagement you	if not handled appropriately. The policy states that where such discussion is undertaken, it is necessary to do so in a manner
of any engagement you have undertaken? If there is a greater effect on one group, is that consistent with the policy aims? If the policy has negative effects on people sharing particular characteristics, what steps can be taken to mitigate these effects? Idiscussion is undertaken, it is necessary to do so in a manner which is "not injurious to others or the reputation of the Trust". Appropriate promotion of the policy and in particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs — including those relating to particular characteristics.	gagement you ertaken? a greater effect oup, is that	ngagement you	discussion is undertaken, it is necessary to do so in a manner
have undertaken? necessary to do so in a manner which is "not injurious to others or the reputation of the Trust". If there is a greater effect on one group, is that consistent with the policy aims? If the policy has negative effects on people sharing particular characteristics, what steps can be taken to mitigate these effects? Appropriate promotion of the policy and in particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs — including those relating to particular characteristics.	a greater effect		necessary to do so in a manner
which is "not injurious to others or the reputation of the Trust". If there is a greater effect on one group, is that consistent with the policy aims? If the policy has negative effects on people sharing particular characteristics, what steps can be taken to mitigate these effects? which is "not injurious to others or the reputation of the Trust". Appropriate promotion of the policy and in particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs — including those relating to particular characteristics.	a greater effect oup, is that	dertaken?	•
reputation of the Trust". If there is a greater effect on one group, is that consistent with the policy aims? If the policy has negative effects on people sharing particular characteristics, what steps can be taken to mitigate these effects? Appropriate promotion of the policy and in particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs — including those relating to particular characteristics.	oup, is that	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	which is "not injuriage to others or the
If there is a greater effect on one group, is that consistent with the policy aims? If the policy has negative effects on people sharing particular characteristics, what steps can be taken to mitigate these effects? Appropriate promotion of the policy and in particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs — including those relating to particular characteristics.	oup, is that		which is not injurious to others or the
on one group, is that consistent with the policy aims? If the policy has negative effects on people sharing particular characteristics, what steps can be taken to mitigate these effects? Appropriate promotion of the policy and in particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs – including those relating to particular characteristics.	oup, is that		reputation of the Trust".
consistent with the policy aims? If the policy has negative effects on people sharing particular characteristics, what steps can be taken to mitigate these effects? Appropriate promotion of the policy and in particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs — including those relating to particular characteristics.	•	s a greater effect X	
aims? If the policy has negative effects on people sharing particular characteristics, what steps can be taken to mitigate these effects? Appropriate promotion of the policy and in particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs — including those relating to particular characteristics.	with the policy	group, is that	
If the policy has negative effects on people sharing particular characteristics, what steps can be taken to mitigate these effects? Appropriate promotion of the policy and in particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs – including those relating to particular characteristics.		nt with the policy	
effects on people sharing particular characteristics, what steps can be taken to mitigate these effects? and in particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs — including those relating to particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs — including those relating to particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs — including those relating to particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs — including those relating to particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs — including those relating to particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs — including those relating to particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs — including those relating to particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs — including those relating to particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs — including those relating to particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs — including those relating to particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging beliefs — including those relating to particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging the need to be a particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging the need to be a particular the need to behave respectfully when challenging the need to be a particular the need to be a particul			
particular characteristics, what steps can be taken to mitigate these effects? respectfully when challenging beliefs – including those relating to particula characteristics.	y has negative	licy has negative	Appropriate promotion of the policy
what steps can be taken to mitigate these effects? — including those relating to particular characteristics.	people sharing	n people sharing	and in particular the need to behave
mitigate these effects? characteristics.	characteristics,	r characteristics,	respectfully when challenging beliefs
	s can be taken to	ps can be taken to	- including those relating to particular
In addition, debates on controversia	ese effects?	these effects?	characteristics.
			In addition, debates on controversial
topics will need to be managed in a			topics will need to be managed in a
way to ensure that any provocative			way to ensure that any provocative
views allow a chance for responses			views allow a chance for responses
to be given, to ensure appropriate			to be given, to ensure appropriate
balance, and all those participating			balance, and all those participating
have the opportunity to contribute.			have the opportunity to contribute.
Will the policy deliver X Reassurance that views can be	olicy deliver	policy deliver X	Reassurance that views can be
practical benefits for freely expressed, so long as this is	enefits for	benefits for	freely expressed, so long as this is
certain groups? done respectfully and professionally	oups?	roups?	done respectfully and professionally
and within the law.			and within the law.
Does the policy miss X To alter the balance would risk being	policy miss	e policy miss	To alter the balance would risk being
opportunities to advance seen as promoting censorship.	ies to advance	nities to advance	seen as promoting censorship.
equality of opportunity and	f opportunity and	of opportunity and	
foster good relations?	d relations?	ood relations?	
Do other policies need to X Further policy work is required:	policies need to	policies need to X	Further policy work is required:
change to enable this Library Development and	enable this	to enable this	Library Development and
policy to be effective? Acquisitions Policy (going through		be effective?	Acquisitions Policy (going through
committee approval March 2021),			committee approval March 2021),

	Guest Speaker Policy (due for consideration May 2021).
Additional comments	

If one or more answers are yes, then the policy may unlawful under the Equality Act 2010 –seek advice from Human Resources (for staff related policies) or the Trust's Equalities Lead (for all other policies).